

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONERS FEATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO Box 1449 Alexandra, Junual 223/3-145 www.spt.s.o.

DATE MAILED: 05/21/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09 501,033	02 09 2000	Leonard E. Mess	11675 168.1	3325	
22901 7	7590 05 21 2003				
GREGORY M. TAYLOR WORKMAN, NYDEGGER & SEELEY 1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER 60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE			EXAMINER		
			NGUYEN, VINH P		
	TH TEMPLE CITY, UT 84111		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2829		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No	р.	Applicant(s)			
		09/501.033		MESS LEONARD E			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		VINH P NGUYE	ΞN	2829			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports. The MAILING DATE of this communication apports.	pears on the cov	er sheet with the o	correspondence address			
THE - External control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	136(a). In no event, how by within the statutory movil apply and will expire application	wever, may a reply be tin inimum of thirty (30) day e SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this communication D (35.U.S.C. S. 33)			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 I	<u> March 2003</u> .					
2a)[_	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-	final.				
3) [Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims	ance except for f Ex parte Quayle	formal matters, pr , 1935 C.D. 11, 4	rosecution as to the merits is .53 O.G. 213.			
4) 🔀	Claim(s) 1-33 and 35-62 is/are pending in the	application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from conside	ration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)[🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-33 and 35-62</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election require	ement.				
	on Papers	•					
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	oted or b) objec	ted to by the Exar	niner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be he	ld in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_is: a)∏ approv	ed b) disappro	ved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		etion.				
12) 🔲 🗆	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
: :	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been rece	eived.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	ity documents ha eau (PCT Rule 1	ave been receive 17.2(a)).	d in this National Stage			
14) [A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e)	(to a provisional application).			
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language provoknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	visional applicati	on has been rece	ived.			
Attachment(,,					
2) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) 5) 6)	Interview Summary Notice of Informal Pa Other	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			
TS Telver (375 TA PTO-326 (Rev		ion Summary		Part of Paper No. 23			

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-33,35-62 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8,10-27 of a copending application SN# 09 501,025. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the limitation of claims 1-27 of the copending application SN# 09 501,025 have most common claimed elements with the instant claims 1-33,35-62 except for a

Application/Control Number: 09/501,033

Art Unit. 2829

Page 3

container that contains a semiconductor device having electrical circuitry, the electrical circuitry being electrically connected to a plurality of electrical leads projecting out of the container. It would have been well-known in the semiconductor art to recognize that the package semiconductor would include a semiconductor device (die) with electrical circuitry connected to an electrical lead projecting out of the container.

- Applicant's arguments, filed 03/13/03, with respect to the rejection of claims 1-5.7.9.12-15.17, 19-21.28-31,33, 35,38-40,42-44,46-49,51-54,56-59,61 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hembree et al (Pat # 5,424,652) and the rejection of claims 6,10-11.16.21.24-27.32.36-37 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hembree et al (Pat # 5,424,652) in view of Pryor et al (Pat # 4,712,161) and Long et al (Pat # 5,621,333) and Hirano et al (Pat # 5,625,298) have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejections of claims 1-61 has been withdrawn.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to VINH P. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (703) 305-4914.

 Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4900.

VINH P. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 2829

05/12/03